

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1459 Alexandra, Vignua 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/062,095	01/31/2002	Andries Ellens	02003/TL	9932		9932
1933	7590 06/20/2003					
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR			EXAMINER			
			HARPER, HOLLY R			
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003

2879

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No	. •	Applicant(s)					
		10/062,095		ELLENS ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Holly R. Harper		2879					
P	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
Si	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory mitial apply and will expire cause the application	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to p become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely, he mailing date of this communication.					
Ì	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8</u> is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.								
Ap	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or oplication Papers	election require	ment.						
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
	13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
1	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	a) The translation of the foreign language proves 5) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	risional application	on has been recei	ved.	,				
1	nchment(s)	•	00						
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)					
	tent and Trademark Office 326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Acti	on Summary	-	Part of Paper No. 7					

Application/Control Number: 10/062,095

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment, filed on 1/31/2002, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

The Specification has been amended.

The Abstract has been amended.

Claim 5 has been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Variables x, y, and z are not defined. All possible definitions for the variables x, y, and z would not produce a fluorescent material. A person with ordinary skill in the art could not determine the values for the variables without undue experimentation. The disclosure offers no guidance to the intended values of x, y, and z.

Claims 3-8 are rejected because of their dependence on the base claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/062,095 Page 3

Art Unit: 2879

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim does not specify the values or ranges for A, x, y, and z. Therefore, the meets and bounds of the claim are not ascertainable.

Claims 3-8 are rejected because of their dependence on the base claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 2, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 2, and specifically comprising the formulas $Sr_2Si_5N_8Ce^{3+}$ and $SrSi_7N_{10}$: Ce^{3+} .

Contact innormation

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Harper whose telephone number is (703) 305-7908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2879

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

119

Holly Harper Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879